

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151)

charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com

David A. Perlson (Bar No. 209502)

davidperlson@quinnemanuel.com

Melissa Baily (Bar No. 237649)

melissabaily@quinnemanuel.com

John Neukom (Bar No. 275887)

johnneukom@quinnemanuel.com

Jordan Jaffe (Bar No. 254886)

jordanjaffe@quinnemanuel.com

50 California Street, 22nd Floor

San Francisco, California 94111-4788

Telephone: (415) 875-6600

Facsimile: (415) 875-6700

Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

WAYMO LLC,

Plaintiff,

vs.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.;
OTTOMOTTO LLC; OTTO TRUCKING
LLC,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 3:17-cv-00939-WHA

**DECLARATION OF FELIPE
CORREDOR IN SUPPORT OF
DEFENDANTS UBER TECHNOLOGIES,
INC. AND OTTOMOTTO LLC'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO FILE
UNDER SEAL EXHIBITS TO THEIR
OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF WAYMO
LLC'S MOTION FOR RELIEF FROM
NONDISPOSITIVE PRETRIAL ORDER
OF MAGISTRATE JUDGE (DKT. 1276)**

1 I, Felipe Corredor, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney licensed to practice in the State of California and am admitted to
3 practice before this Court. I am an associate at the law firm Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan,
4 LLP, counsel for the Plaintiff Waymo LLC (“Waymo”). I have personal knowledge of the matters set
5 forth in this Declaration, and if called as a witness I would testify competently to those matters.

6 2. I make this declaration in support of Defendants’ Uber Technologies, Inc. and
7 Ottomotto LLC’s Administrative Motion to File Under Seal Exhibits to Their Opposition to Plaintiff
8 Waymo LLC’s Motion for Relief from Nondispositive Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 1276)
9 (the “Administrative Motion”). The Administrative Motion seeks an order sealing highlighted
10 portions of Uber’s Opposition to Plaintiff Waymo LLC’s Motion for Relief from Nondispositive
11 Pretrial Order of Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 1276) (“Uber’s Opposition”) and the entirety of Exhibit 1 to
12 the Liu Declaration.

13 3. The portions of Uber’s Opposition and Exhibit 1 highlighted in green contain or refer
14 to confidential business information, which Waymo seeks to seal.

15 4. Uber’s Opposition (portions highlighted in green) and Exhibit 1 (portions highlighted
16 in green in version filed herewith) contain, reference, and/or describe Waymo’s highly confidential
17 and sensitive business information. The information Waymo seeks to seal regards confidential details
18 regarding Waymo and parent corporate entities Google and Alphabet’s merger and acquisition
19 practices and transactions, including financial details. I understand that this confidential business
20 information is maintained by Waymo as secret. The public disclosure of this information would give
21 Waymo’s competitors access to in-depth insight into—and analysis of—Waymo, Google, and
22 Alphabet’s merger and acquisition strategy. If such information were made public, I understand that
23 Waymo, Google, and Alphabet’s competitive standing would be significantly harmed. Exhibit 1
24 additionally contains an address of a Google employee involved in this case, the disclosure of which
25 would cause Waymo, Google, Alphabet, and that employee substantial harm due to the high public
26 profile of this litigation.

27 5. Waymo’s request to seal is narrowly tailored to those portions of Uber’s Opposition
28 and Exhibit 1 that merit sealing.

1 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United
2 States of America that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed in San
3 Francisco, California, on August 28, 2017.

4 By /s/ Felipe Corredor

5 Felipe Corredor

6 Attorneys for WAYMO LLC

7
8 **ATTESTATION**

9 In accordance with Civil Local Rule 5-1(i)(3), I attest that concurrence in the filing of this
10 document has been obtained from Felipe Corredor.

11
12 By: /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven

13 Charles K. Verhoeven